

SUMMARY REPORT DIGEST-  
COMPLAINT REGISTER INVESTIGATION NO.:  
CHICAGO POLICE DEPARTMENT

255 910

DATE OF REPORT (DAY-MO.-YEAR)

24 SEP 99

To be used in all cases that are to be classified as either EXONERATED, UNFOUNDED, NOT SUSTAINED, or in SUSTAINED cases where the Disciplinary Recommendation does not exceed FIVE (5) DAYS SUSPENSION.

SUBMIT ORIGINAL AND 3 COPIES IF ASSIGNED TO SAME UNIT AS ACCUSED.  
SUBMIT ORIGINAL AND 4 COPIES IF NOT ASSIGNED TO SAME UNIT AS ACCUSED.

TO: SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE

ATTENTION ☒ ADMINISTRATOR IN CHARGE, OFFICE OF PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS  
☐ ASSISTANT DEPUTY SUPERINTENDENT, INTERNAL AFFAIRS DIVISION

FROM-INVESTIGATOR'S NAME CLARK, THOMAS J.		RANK SGT	STAR NO. 1408	SOCIAL SEC. NO. [REDACTED]	EMPLOYEE NO. [REDACTED]	UNIT ASSIGN. 018
ADDRESS OF INCIDENT 1200 N. Wells			DATE OF INCIDENT - TIME 16 AUG 99 2220		BEAT OF INCIDENT 1821	LOCATION CODE* 17
NAME 1. GARRETT, Eddie		RANK P.O.	STAR NO. 9642	SOCIAL SEC. NO. [REDACTED]	EMPLOYEE NO. [REDACTED]	UNIT ASSIGN. 018
2. CUNNINGHAM, Aaron B.		P.O.	15522	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	018
ACCUSED	SEX/RACE D.O.B.	DATE OF APPOINTMENT		DUTY STATUS (TIME OF INCIDENT)		PHYS. COND. CODE†
	1. M/1 [REDACTED] 61	16 JUN 86		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ON DUTY <input type="checkbox"/> OFF DUTY		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SWORN <input type="checkbox"/> CIVILIAN 01
	2. M/2 [REDACTED] 67	29 JUN 98		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ON DUTY <input type="checkbox"/> OFF DUTY		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SWORN <input type="checkbox"/> CIVILIAN 01
	IF APPLICABLE - DATE ARRESTED/INDICTED	CHARGES		COURT BRANCH	DISPOSITION & DATE	
1. [REDACTED]						
2.						
COMPLAINANTS	NAME	*ADDRESS**		CITY STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX/RACE D.O.B./AGE
	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]				M/4 [REDACTED] 81
VICTIMS	NAME	ADDRESS**		CITY STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX/RACE D.O.B./AGE
WITNESSES	NAME	ADDRESS**		CITY STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX/RACE D.O.B./AGE
	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]			UNK	M/4 14

☐ SEE ATTACHED SHEET FOR ADDITIONAL ACCUSED, COMPLAINANTS, VICTIMS, WITNESSES.

ALLEGATIONS

The complainant alleges that the above listed Officers broke his windshield, searched him and took his drivers license and proof of insurance while on a traffic stop at Division and Wells.

I.A.D. LOCATION CODES\*

01 Food Sales/Restaurant	11 Public Transportation Veh./Facility
02 Tavern/Liquor Store	12 Park District Property
03 Other Business Establishment	13 Airport
04 Police Building	14 Public Property - Other
05 Lockup Facility	15 Other Private Premise
06 Police Maintenance Facility	16 Expressway/Interstate System
07 CPD Automotive Pound Facility	17 Public Way - Other
08 Other Police Property	18 Waterway, Incl. Park District
09 Police Communications System	19 Private Residence
10 Court Room	

I.A.D. PHYSICAL CONDITION CODES†

01 No Visible Injury - Apparently Normal
02 No Visible Injury - Under Influence
03 Injured, Not Hospitalized
04 Injured, Not Hospitalized - Under Influence
05 Injured, Hospitalized
06 Injured, Hospitalized - Under Influence
07 Injured, Refused Medical Aid
08 Injured, Refused Medical Aid - Under Influence
09 Deceased
10 Deceased - Under Influence

\* IF CPD MEMBER, LIST RANK, STAR, SOCIAL SECURITY, EMPLOYEE NOS. IN ADDRESS BOX, PAX/BELL IN TELEPHONE BOX.

Briefly summarize the investigation describing your efforts to prove or disprove the allegation(s). Indicate whether witnesses or evidence support or do not support the allegation(s).  
In sustained cases ONLY, copies of the accused member's Summary of Previous Disciplinary Actions and Record of Previous Complimentary History will be included as attachments.

R/Sgt interviewed the Officers who stated that they had in-fact stopped the complainant for a minor traffic violation. While stopping the vehicle, they observed what they thought was a suspicious move by one of the occupants. The Officers had the occupants step out, a protective patdown was administered as well as a search of the immediate area. A valid drivers license and insurance was produced and the Officers admonished the driver for the non-working headlights, releasing him with a warning. The Offices state the documents were returned.

R/Sgt attempted to contact the complainant by telephone, and was notified that the phone had been disconnected. R/Sgt sent a registered letter on 10 SEP 99. This letter was delivered and signed for by the complainant on 16 SEP 99. As of today's date, 26 SEP 99, R/Sgt has not heard from the complainant

SUMMARY

ATTACHMENTS

INVESTIGATIVE REPORTS— SUPPORTING ALLEGATION LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:	INVESTIGATIVE REPORTS— SUPPORTING ACCUSED MEMBER(S) LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:	PHYSICAL EVIDENCE LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:	TOTAL NUMBER OF ATTACHMENTS SUBMITTED WITH THIS FILE:
0	#8 #12	0	12

Summarize the findings and recommendations. Rule violations will be cited by number only. One overall recommendation for Disciplinary Action will be made by the investigator. The recommendation will be for ALL sustained findings; recommendations will NOT be made for each sustained allegation.

Example: 1. Violation noted, no disciplinary action warranted. 2. That the accused member be reprimanded. 3. That the accused member be suspended for ..... days (not to exceed 5 days).

*Not Sustained RW*  
Findings: ~~EXONERATED~~. The actions of the Officers were within Department guidelines. The Officers have been forthcoming in the investigation. The complainant has not attempted to contact R/Sgt regarding this incident after all necessary means were attempted to contact him.

FINDINGS—RECOMMENDATIONS

DEC 17 1999

REVIEWED BY

DATE INITIATED  
(DATE COMPLAINT WAS  
RECEIVED FOR INVESTIGATION)

18 AUG 99

DATE COMPLETED  
(DATE OF THIS  
REPORT)

24 AUG 99

ELAPSED TIME  
(TOTAL TIME,  
EXPRESSED IN DAYS)

37

Investigator will initiate the Command Channel Review form by completing the Investigator's Section.

INVESTIGATOR'S SIGNATURE